5-908

MAY 0 8 7008 W

U.S.P.S. EXPRESS MAIL "POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE DEPOSIT INFORMATION

Express Mail Label No.: EM 106 894 392 US

Date of Deposit: May 8, 2008

In re Appln. of: Zander et al.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No.:		10/749,871					-	Examiner: Hand, Melanie Jo					
Filed:		December 30, 2003						Art Unit: 3761					
For:		VISUALLY COORDINATED A			ABSORI	BEN ⁻	Т	Conf. No.: 6330					
Attorney Docket No: Client Ref. No.:			659-2080 19996										
Comm PO Bo	top Appeal B hissioner for F ox 1450 ndria, VA 22:	Patents	TRAN						SMITTAL				
Sir:			•										
Attacl	ned is/are:		•								•		
Communication Concerning Examiner's Supplemental Answer; and													
\boxtimes	·												
Fee ca	alculation:												
\boxtimes	No additional fee is required.												
	Small Entity.												
	An extension fee in an amount of \$ for amonth extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.136(a).												
	A petition or processing fee in an amount of \$ under 37 CFR § 1.17().												
	An additiona	al filing fee	has be	een calculated as sh	nown belov	v:							
							Sma	Small Entity Not a Small Entity					
	Claims Re After Ame			Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Ra	te	Add'l Fee	or	Rate	Add'l Fee		
Total			Minus			×	\$25=		ļ	x \$50=			
Indep.			Minus			>	<105=			x \$210=			
First P	resentation of I	Multiple De	p. Claim			+:	185=		<u> </u>	+ \$370=			
						L	Total	\$		Total	\$		
Fee p	ayment:												
				is enclosed.									
	Please charge Deposit Account No. 23-1925 in the amount of \$ A copy of this Transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.												
	Payment by credit card in the amount of \$ (Form PTO-2038 is attached).												
\boxtimes	The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR § 1.16 and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR § 1.17 associated with this paper												
	(including ar overpaymen	ny extensi it, to Depo	on fee i	required to ensure to ount No. 23-1925. A	hat this pa A copy of tl	per is his Tra	timel ansmi	y filed), or t ittal is enclo	o cre sed	dit any for this pu	ırpose.		
•					Respe	eetfull	y sub	mitted					
							>						
May 8, 2008						Andrew D. Stover (Reg. No. 38,629)							
Date					Andre	ew D.	Stove	er (Reg. No	. 38,6	529)			

Date of Deposit: May 8, 2008





Our Case No. 659/2080 K-C Ref. No. 19,996

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Zander, et al.

Examiner:

Hand, Melanie Jo

Serial No.: 10/749,871

Group Art Unit No.: 3761

Filing Date: December 30, 2003

Confirmation No.: 6330

For:

VISUALLY COORDINATED ABSORBENT PRODUCT

COMMUNICATION CONCERNING EXAMINER'S SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER

MS APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants received a communication mailed March 11, 2008 stating that the Examiner's October 17, 2007 Answer was "vacated in another Office action, paper no. 20080306, and a new supplemental examiner's answer was attached thereto. Therefore [Appellants' Second Reply Brief] is entered and considered moot." Applicants respectfully

submit that they never received Paper No. 20080306, or any new Supplemental Examiner's Answer.

Upon receipt of the March 11, 2008 communication, Applicants' undersigned attorney reviewed the USPTO PAIR system, which revealed that no such Answer had been mailed or made of record. Applicants' undersigned attorney then called the Examiner on March 19, 2008 to explain that Applicants had not received Paper No. 20080306, or the accompanying supplemental Examiner's answer. In response, the Examiner indicated that she would mail the revised Supplemental Answer. Subsequently, another communication, identical to the March 11, 2008 communication, was mailed March 26, 2008.

To date, Applicants have not received any paper vacating the Supplemental Examiner's Answer mailed October 17, 2007, or a revised Supplemental Examiner's Answer with the appropriate signatures as required by an Order mailed February 13, 2008.

Moreover, no such papers are of record on the USPTO PAIR system. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that such papers be mailed to Applicants so that they can respond thereto if deemed appropriate. To the extent that any revised Supplemental Answer merely adds a signature, then Applicants respectfully request that the Second Reply Brief filed December 17, 2008 be considered on the merits, rather than being rendered moot.

In any event, Applicants respectfully that Paper No. 20080306 and any accompanying Supplemental Examiner's Answer be mailed to Applicants forthwith, such that Applicants may have the opportunity to review such papers and respond thereto if deemed appropriate.

Any questions concerning this communication should be directed to the undersigned attorney at (312) 321-4200.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Stover Registration No. 38,629 Attorney for Appellant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610 (312) 321-4200